



IFW \$

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q76394

Toshihiro ISE

Appln. No.: 10/644,830

Group Art Unit: 2814

Confirmation No.: 6159

Examiner: Thao X. LE

Filed: August 21, 2003

For: LIGHT EMITTING ELEMENT

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please consider the remarks below in response to the Office Action mailed July 21, 2005.

Claims 1 and 3-37 are all the claims pending in the application.

**I. Response to Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Referring to Section No. 3 at pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, Claims 1 and 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

According to the Examiner, the "hydrogen" limitation in Claim 1 does not have support in the specification. The Examiner states that "[t]he specification consistently discloses the compound consisting of carbon, nitrogen, and fluorine in pages 9-13." The Examiner asserts that the addition of the "hydrogen" limitation has changed the scope of the invention or created a different compound.

Applicant respectfully traverses.